

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/511,830	02/23/2000	Donald D. Holbrook	W-3875	3393	
75	90 02/24/2003				
Rodney K Worrel Worrel & Worrel			EXAMINER		
			HOEY, BETSEY MORRISON		
St Croix Profess	Sional Center Avenue Suite 121		1.021, 221021 Moldaboli		
Fresno, CA 93			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
7.00.00, 0.1. 73	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1724	<del></del>	
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2003		
				17	
				12	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	plicant(s)	4.
. Advisory Action	09/511,830	HOLBROOK, DONALD	D.
Y Tavidoly Addion	Examin r	Art Unit	
•	HOEY, BETSEY	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation app ars on the cover shet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 06 September 2002 FAIL Therefore, further action by the applicant is refinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notic Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR	quired to avoid abandonment of th be either: (1) a timely filed amendm be of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (	is application. A proper reply to nent which places the applicatio	o a on in
PERIO	D FOR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the r b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing da event, however, will the statutory period for reply ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST f 706.07(f).	ate of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mail	ing date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.13 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the p 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	eriod of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply origin	ount of the fee. The appropriate extensionally set in the final Office action; or (2) as	on fee under s set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>06 Sept.</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension there		·	orth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be	entered because:		
(a)   they raise new issues that would re	quire further consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (	(see Note below);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the a issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	pplication in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simp	olifying the
(d) they present additional claims with NOTE:	out canceling a corresponding nur	nber of finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the follo	owing rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _ canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitte	ed in a sep <b>ara</b> te, timely filed am	nendment
5. ☑ The a) ☑ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ application in condition for allowance be		en considered but does NOT p	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be constraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		OLELY to issues which were n	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed a explanation of how the new or amended			an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be)	as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed o	n is a) 🗌 approved or b) 🗌	disapproved by the Examiner	r.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosur	re Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
10. Other:		BETSEY MORPHSON HOEY  PRIMARY EXAMINER	y
		2/11/03	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the r sponse and declaration fail to address the 102(b) rejections, and the declaration raises new issues that would require further consideration.